arXiv:1407.6540v1 [math.AG] 24 Jul 2014

BOUNDEDNESS FOR SOME RATIONALLY CONNECTED THREEFOLDS IN $\mathbb{P}^6$

MARIAN APRODU AND MATEI TOMA

Abstract. We prove boundedness of rationally-connected threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^6$ under some extra-assumptions.

1. Introduction

Hartshorne and Lichtenbaum conjectured that rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ form a bounded family. This famous conjecture was proved in a more general setup by Ellingsrud and Peskine [EP89]. Since then, the higher-dimensional case has become the center of attention. Precisely, it is expected that there are finitely many components of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing smooth subvarieties X *not* of general type in $\mathbb{P}^N$ of dimension $\dim(X) \geq N/2$ (since any variety X can be embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{2\dim(X)+1}$ the problem of boundedness only makes sense in this range). M. Schneider [Sch92] has given an affirmative answer, for $\dim(X) \geq (N+2)/2$. Hence the remaining question is whether or not the same is true for $N \in \{2\dim(X)-1, 2\dim(X)\}$. The case of threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$ has been settled in [BOSS], which brings us to the next non-trivial case of smooth threefolds X in $\mathbb{P}^6$, the object of this note. Recall that only finitely many components of the Hilbert scheme of smooth varieties can appear if the degree is bounded.

We prove:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth rationally connected threefold in $\mathbb{P}^6$ not contained in any fourfold of degree ≤ 34 and denote by S a general hyperplane section. If $K_S^2 \leq 9$, then the degree of X is bounded by 34^3.*

The condition $K_S^2 \leq 9$ is realised if either X is covered by lines, or $|K_X + H| = \emptyset$, where H denotes the hyperplane class, or S is not of general type, Corollary 5.1. The hypothesis on rational connectedness will be used only through its consequences on cohomology vanishing, i.e. $h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

Some other boundedness results in the same spirit have been obtained by Sabatino [Sa05]. Precisely, in loc. cit. it is assumed that the general hyperplane section of X is a ruled surface.

It follows directly from [IT94] that *subcanonical* varieties not of general type form a bounded family. Hence we are mainly concerned with the case of non-subcanonical subvarieties, although this hypothesis will not be used.

The main technical ingredients used in the proof are the following: the lifting theorem of Chiantini-Ciliberto [CC93], the bounds on the genus of curves in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of

Marian Aprodu thanks IECN Nancy and IHES Bures-sur-Yvette for hospitality during the preparation of this work. Marian Aprodu was partly supported by LEA MathMode, and by the CNCS-UEFISCDI grant PN-II-ID-PCE- 2011-3-0288, contract no. 132/05.10.2011. Matei Toma was partly supported by LEA MathMode.

Chiantini-Ciliberto-di Gennaro [CCdG95], the semi-positivity of Schur polynomials of Fulton-Lazarsfeld [FL93], and the Hodge index formula for some divisors in X.

2. Notation, setup

The general setup is the following.

$X \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ is a rationally connected smooth variety of dimension 3,
$H := \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the hyperplane section bundle on X,
$K := K_X$ the canonical bundle of X,
$h := c_1(H) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the class of H,
$k := c_1(K) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the class of K,
$N := N|_{X|\mathbb{P}^6}$ the normal bundle of X,
$S \in |H|$ a general hyperplane section,
$H_S := H|_S$ the induced very ample bundle on S,
$C \in |H_S|$ a general sectional curve,
$H_C := H|_C$ the induced very ample bundle on C,
$d := (H^3)$ the degree of X,
$g := g(C)$ the sectional genus,
$\delta := 2g - 2 = h^2 \cdot k + 2h^3$ the degree of K_C,
$c_i := c_i(X) \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the Chern classes of X,
$n_i := c_i(N) \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the Chern classes of the normal bundle,
$\chi := \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ the Euler characteristic of S,
$u := h^{1,1}(S)$ the Picard number of S,
$v := c_1(N(-H))^3$.

Note that $h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ [Ko96], Corollary IV.3.8.

3. Identities

In this section we record a number of useful relations in connection with our setup.

Lemma 3.1. *S is a regular surface i.e. $q(S) = 0$. Moreover $\chi = \chi(K + H) + 1 = h^0(K + H) + 1$ and hence $p_g(S) = 0$ if and only if $|K_X + H| = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Apply $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = 0$ (from Kodaira vanishing) and the vanishing of $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_S \to 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *$c_2(S) = 2\chi + u$ and $K_S^2 = 10\chi - u$.*

Proof. From the Gauss-Bonnet formula, we have $c_2(S) = \chi_{\text{top}}(S) = 2 - 2b_1(S) + b_2(S)$, from where, applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain $c_2(S) = 2 + b_2(S) = 2\chi + u$.

For the second relation, apply Noether's formula on S.

$$\chi = \frac{1}{12}(K_S^2 + \chi_{\text{top}}(S)),$$

which implies $K_S^2 = 12\chi - (2\chi + u)$. □

Lemma 3.3. *$k \cdot c_2 = -24$.*

Proof. From the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula, we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = c_1 \cdot c_2/24$, and from rational-connectedness we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$. □

Lemma 3.4. *The Chern classes of N are the following:*

(1) $n_1 = 7h + k$;
(2) $n_2 = 21h^2 + 7h \cdot k + k^2 - c_2$;
(3) $n_3 = 35h^3 + 21h^2 \cdot k + 7h \cdot k^2 + k^3 - 7h \cdot c_2 - c_3 + 48$.

Proof. We use the normal sequence

$$0 \to T_X \to T_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_X \to N \to 0 \tag{3.5}$$

which implies that $c(N) = c(T_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_X)/c(X)$. Obviously

$$\frac{1}{c(X)} = 1 - c_1 t + (c_1^2 - c_2)t^2 + (-c_1^3 + 2c_1c_2 - c_3)t^3$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{c(X)} = 1 + kt + (k^2 - c_2)t^2 + \left(k^3 + 48 - c_3\right) t^3$$

On the other hand, since $c(T_{\mathbb{P}^6}) = (1 + ht)^7$, we obtain

$$c_1(T_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_X) = 7h,\ c_2(T_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_X) = \binom{7}{2}h^2 = 21h^2,\ c_3(T_{\mathbb{P}^6}|_X) = \binom{7}{3}h^3 = 35h^3.$$

This implies

$$c(N) = (1 + 7ht + 21h^2t^2 + 35h^3t^3) \cdot \left(1 + kt + (k^2 - c_2)t^2 + \left(k^3 + 48 - c_3\right) t^3\right).$$

□

Lemma 3.6. $n_3 = d^2$.

Proof. This is the double-point formula [LMS75]. □

Lemma 3.7. *We have the following numerical relations:*

(1) $h^2 \cdot k = -2d + \delta$;
(2) $h \cdot k^2 = 3d - 2\delta + 10\chi - u$;
(3) $k^3 = -4d - 24\delta - 120\chi + 12u + v$;
(4) $h \cdot c_2 = d - \delta + 2\chi + u$.
(5) $c_3 = 3d - 10\delta - 64\chi - 2u + v - d^2 + 48$;

Proof. For (1), by adjunction we have $\delta = (k+2h) \cdot h^2$, and hence $h^2 \cdot k = -2d + \delta$.

For (2) we apply Lemma 3.2: $K_S^2 = 10\chi - u$. On the other hand

$$K_S^2 = (k + h)^2 \cdot h = h^3 + 2h^2 \cdot k + h \cdot k^2$$

implying $h \cdot k^2 = 10\chi - u - d - 2(-2d + \delta)$.

For (3) we use the definition of v. Note that $c_1(N(-1)) = 4h + k$, from Lemma 3.4, and hence

$$v = 64h^3 + 48h^2 \cdot k + 12h \cdot k^2 + k^3.$$

The preceding formulae show that

$$k^3 = v - 64d - 48(-2d + \delta) - 12(3d - 2\delta + 10\chi - u).$$

For (4) remark that $h \cdot c_2 = c_2(T_X|_S)$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to T_S \to T_X|_S \to N_{S|X} \to 0$$

implies that (note that $N_{S|X} = H_S$) $h \cdot c_2 = c_2(S) + c_1(S) \cdot H_S$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $h \cdot c_2 = 2\chi + u - (k+h) \cdot h^2$.

For (5), apply Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.6 and the relations above: $c_3 = 35h^3 + 21h^2 \cdot k + 7h \cdot k^2 + k^3 - 7h \cdot c_2 - n_3 + 48 = 35d + 21(-2d + \delta) + 7(3d - 2\delta + 10\chi - u) + (-4d - 24\delta - 120\chi + 12u + v) - 7(d - \delta + 2\chi + u) - d^2 + 48$. □

4. Inequalities

This section is devoted to some useful inequalities between the given invariants.

4.1. **A Lifting Theorem.** [CC93] It is known [Ro37] that if the sectional curve C is contained in a hypersurface of degree s in $\mathbb{P}^4$, with $s^2 < d$, then both S and X are contained in hypersurfaces of degree s in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and $\mathbb{P}^6$ respectively.

We shall need a version of this result, which is a special case of Theorem 0.2 [CC93].

Theorem 4.1. *If the general sectional curve C of X is contained in a surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of degree s such that $d > \frac{(s-1)(s-3)}{2} + 8s - 3$ then X is contained in a 4-fold of degree s in $\mathbb{P}^6$.*

This result will be used together with the bounds of the genus of C, see below.

4.2. **Bounds on the genus of C.** We shall apply the main result of [CCdG95] in our setup; the general sectional curve C is non-degenerate.

Theorem 4.2. *Notation as above. Assume that C is not contained in a surface of even degree $s \geq 11$ with $d > s^3$. Then the genus of C is bounded by*

$$\frac{d^2}{2s} + \frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{s}{2} - 3\right) + \frac{3s^2 - 20}{8}.$$

When making the substitutions in the main result of [CCdG95] we do not take care of optimality of the bound. A similar bound can be found for odd s, either by applying directly loc. cit. or replacing s by $s - 1$ in the statement above.

4.3. **Schur polynomials.** The bundle $N(-1)$ is globally generated, hence by [FL93], the associated Schur polynomials

$$s_{(1)} := c_1(N(-1)),\ s_{(20)} := c_2(N(-1)),\ s_{(300)} := c_3(N(-1)),$$
$$s_{(11)} := (c_1^2 - c_2)(N(-1)),\ s_{(210)} := (c_1 \cdot c_2 - c_3)(N(-1))$$
$$s_{(111)} := (c_1^3 - 2c_1 \cdot c_2 + c_3)(N(-1))$$

are semi-positive, in particular $s_{(1)} \cdot h^2$, $s_{(20)} \cdot h$ and $s_{(11)} \cdot h$ are non-negative. We compute all these non-negative numbers.

Lemma 4.3. *Notation as above. We have*

(1) $s_{(1)} \cdot h^2 = 2d + \delta$;
(2) $s_{(20)} \cdot h = 2d + 4\delta + 8\chi - 2u$;
(3) $s_{(11)} \cdot h = d + 2\delta + 2\chi + u$;
(4) $s_{(300)} = -5d - 5\delta - 8\chi + 2u + d^2$;
(5) $s_{(210)} = 4d - 3\delta - 30\chi - 3u + v + 24 - d^2$;
(6) $s_{(111)} = -3d + 11\delta + 68\chi + 4u - v - 48 + d^2$.

Proof. We easily show that $c_1(N(-1)) = n_1 - 3h$, $c_2(N(-1)) = n_2 + 3h^2 - 2h \cdot n_1$, $c_3(N(-1)) = n_3 - h^3 + h^2 \cdot n_1 - h \cdot n_2$. We apply next Lemma 3.4, 3.3, 3.6, 3.7. □

4.4. **Hodge-index Theorem.**

Proposition 4.4. *Let D be a smooth divisor on X. Then*

$$(H \cdot K \cdot D)^2 \geq (H^2 \cdot D)(K^2 \cdot D).$$

Proof. Write $(H \cdot K \cdot D) = (H|_D \cdot K|_D)$, $(H^2 \cdot D) = (H|_D^2)$, $(K^2 \cdot D) = (K|_D^2)$ and apply the usual Hodge-index theorem on D to $H|_D$ and $K|_D$. □

Remark 4.5. Applying Hodge theorem for a linear combination aD_1+bD_2 of divisors does not give anything new apart from the inequalities obtained for D_1 and D_2.

We can apply Proposition 4.4 to two different divisors on X. One is H itself and the other one is $4H + K$; recall that $\det(N(-1)) = 4H + K$ is globally generated.

Corollary 4.6. $(3d+6\delta+10\chi-u)^2 \geq v(2d+\delta)$ *and* $\delta^2-(2\delta+10\chi-u)d+d^2 \geq 0$.

5. Boundedness of the degree

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose that $d > 34^3$. From the hypothesis, we know that $10\chi - u \leq 9$. Note that

$$s_{(20)} \cdot h + s_{(11)} \cdot h = 3d + 6\delta + 10\chi - u \geq 0.$$

From corollary 4.6, we obtain

$$v \leq \frac{(3d + 6\delta + 10\chi - u)^2}{2d + \delta} \leq \frac{(3d + 6\delta + 9)^2}{2d + \delta}.$$

On the other hand, from the non-negativity of $s_{(210)}$, we have

$$v \geq d^2 - 4d + 3\delta + 30\chi + 3u - 24 \geq d^2 - 4d + 3\delta + 9$$

whence

$$33\delta^2 + (-d^2 + 34d + 99)\delta + (81 + 17d^2 + 36d - 2d^3) \geq 0$$

Since for $d > 34^3$, the expression $81 + 17d^2 + 36d - 2d^3$ is clearly negative, we have

$$\delta \geq \frac{d^2 - 34d}{33} - 3.$$

From theorem 4.1 and theorem 4.2 applied for $s = 34$, it follows that

$$\delta = 2g - 2 \leq \frac{d^2}{s} + d\left(\frac{s}{2} - 3\right) + \frac{3s^2}{4} - 7 = \frac{d^2}{34} + 14d + 860.$$

These two opposite inequalities for δ yield

$$\frac{d^2}{34} + 14d + 860 \geq \frac{d^2 - 34d}{33} - 3$$

which contradicts the assumption $d > 34^3$. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let X be a smooth rationally connected threefold in $\mathbb{P}^6$ not contained in any fourfold of degree ≤ 34. Then the degree of X is bounded by 34^3 if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1. *X is covered by lines;*
2. *the general hyperplane section S of X is not of general type;*
3. *$|K_X + H| = \emptyset$, where H denotes the hyperplane class.*

Proof. We prove that in each of the three cases, we have $K_S^2 \leq 9$.

If S is not of general type, then the conclusion follows from the classification of surfaces (note that K^2 decreases when we blow up).

If X is covered by lines, then $|K_X+H|$ is empty. Indeed, by [IR12], it follows that for ℓ a general line in a covering family, the restriction $\Omega^1_X|_\ell \cong \mathcal{O}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}(a)\oplus\mathcal{O}(b)$ with $-1 \leq a, b \leq 0$ (i.e. lines are free, standard). Hence $K_X \cdot \ell \leq -2$ and, since $H \cdot \ell = 1$, $(K_X + H) \cdot \ell \leq 1$. Hence $K_X + H$ cannot be effective.

Under the assumption $|K_X + H| = \emptyset$, from Lemma 3.1, we infer that $p_g(S) = 0$, and hence $\chi = 1$, which implies that $10\chi - u \leq 9$. □

References

[BOSS] R. Braun, G. Ottaviani, M. Schneider, F.-O. Schreyer, *Boundedness for non-general type 3-folds in* $\mathbb{P}_5$, Complex Analysis and Geometry (1993) 311-338.

[CC93] L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, *A few remarks on te lifting problem*, Journées de géométrie algébrique d'Orsay, Astérisque 218 (1993) 95-109.

[CCdG95] L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, V. Di Gennaro, *The genus of curves in* $\mathbb{P}^4$ *verifying certain flag conditions*, Manuscripta Math. 89 (1995) 119-134.

[EP89] G. Ellingsrud, Ch. Peskine, *Sur les surfaces lisses de* $\mathbb{P}_4$, Invent. Math. 95 (1989) 1-11.

[FL93] W. Fulton, R. Lazarsfeld, *Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. 118 (1983) 35-60.

[IT94] P. Ionescu, M. Toma, *Boundedness for some special families of embedded manifolds*, Contemp. Math. 162 (1994) 215-225.

[IR12] P. Ionescu, F. Russo, *Manifolds covered by lines and the Hartshorne conjecture for quadratic manifolds*, American J. Math., to appear.

[Ko96] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Springer Verlag, 1996.

[LMS75] A. T. Lascu, D. Mumford, D. B. Scott, *The self-intersection formula and the formule-clef*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 78 (1975), 117-123.

[Sa05] P. Sabatino, *Boundedness for threefolds in* $\mathbb{P}^6$ *containing a smooth ruled surface as hyperplane section*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 18 (2005) 363-375.

[Ro37] L. Roth, *On the projective classification of surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc 42 (1937) 142-170.

[Sch92] M. Schneider, *Boundedness of low-codimensional submanifolds of projective space*, Int. J. Math. 3 (1992) 397-399.

Marian Aprodu: Romanian Academy, Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" P.O. Box 1-764, RO 014700, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: marian.aprodu@imar.ro

Matei Toma: Institut Elie Cartan, UMR 7502, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, INRIA, Boulevard des Aiguillettes, B.P. 70239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France

E-mail address: Matei.Toma@univ-lorraine.fr